

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAR 27 2008

DOCKETED BY	
	nr

In the matter of

KENNETH HOWARD FRALEIGH and LINDA JEAN FRALEIGH, husband and wife,

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER

KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20551A-07-0521

DECISION NO. **70219**

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER OF RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND CONSENT TO SAME

Respondents KENNETH HOWARD FRALEIGH AND LINDA JEAN FRALEIGH ("RESPONDENTS") elect to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease and Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same ("Order"). RESPONDENTS admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consent to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. At all times material hereto, KENNETH HOWARD FRALEIGH ("FRALEIGH") and LINDA JEAN FRALEIGH were residents of Arizona and were not registered as securities salespersons.

2.	At all times material hereto, FRALEIGH was married to LINDA JEAN
FRALEIGH.	All action taken by FRALEIGH was in furtherance of and for the benefit of the
marital comm	unity.

- 3. In approximately May 2005, RESPONDENTS were approached by their son in law, Maurice McCleod ("McCleod"), regarding an opportunity to invest in real estate. McCleod resided in California and was associated with Pacific Wealth Management, L.L.C. ("PWM") through March 29, 2007. PWM is a Nevada limited liability company that conducted business in Riverside County, California. PWM is not affiliated with and is separate and distinct from a limited liability company, Pacific Wealth Management, L.L.C., an investment adviser registered with the State of California. In late 2006, the registered investment adviser obtained a temporary restraining order preventing PWM from using the Pacific Wealth Management name.
- 4. McCleod represented to RESPONDENTS that PWM was a real estate consulting firm and assisted with real estate transactions.
- 5. From approximately May 2005 to December 2006, PWM and/or at least one of its related entities conducted investment seminars throughout California and, with the assistance of FRALEIGH, in Tucson, Arizona. PWM's related entities include, but are not limited to, Stonewood Consulting Inc., Total Return Fund, L.L.C., Jovane Investments, Sunburst Financial Systems, Inc. and Oetting Enterprises, Inc. Representatives from PWM including, but not limited to, McCleod, James Duncan, Hendrix Montecastro and Charlie Choi, organized and attended the seminars.
- RESPONDENTS agreed to invest with PWM in May 2005. By August 2006,
 RESPONDENTS had purchased five properties through PWM.
- 7. To become a client of PWM required adherence to PWM's three rules which were, "They make all decisions, whatever they say I do, and it's a three-year commitment."
- 8. After investing himself, FRALEIGH began to explain to family and friends details of the investments he had made through PWM and its related entities.

- 9. PWM designated FRALEIGH as a "referral partner." FRALEIGH provided individuals with PWM business cards with his name printed on them and acted as a liaison between California representatives of PWM and individuals to whom he had communicated information about the program.
- 10. FRALEIGH's communication included sharing with friends and family that he had invested with PWM, suggesting to them that they attend the investment seminars and offering them an opportunity to invest. FRALEIGH provided his own testimonial at the seminars related to his experience investing with PWM.
- 11. In exchange for FRALEIGH's efforts, he was compensated by PWM and its related entities.
- 12. FRALEIGH failed to inform individuals he spoke to about the program that he was being compensated for his services.
- 13. The security initially communicated to individuals by FRALEIGH was an investment contract comprised of residential real estate ("real estate program") through a company known as Stonewood Consulting, Inc. ("Stonewood'). Beginning in early 2006, as a result of Stonewood's poor reputation in the real estate community, PWM began offering an opportunity to participate in a program in which PWM (either directly or through an affiliated entity) would use the investor's credit to buy real estate.
- 14. PWM and its related entities located the real estate to be purchased, arranged the financing, obtained the appraisals and ultimately purchased the residential real estate to be held in the investor's name. Based on his experience, FRALEIGH explained to individuals and gave testimonial at seminars conducted by PWM that the properties being purchased would be rented until such time as their value appreciated to the point that they could then be sold with the investors realizing the increase in the value of the real estate.
- 15. As was true with FRALEIGH's own investment, investors had no input into the initial selection of the properties to be purchased, the renters who would occupy the properties, the property

maintenance company, the title company, the mortgage company or the appraiser. Investors were presented with real estate closing documents and told where to sign. Investors, including FRALEIGH, were not responsible for deciding when the homes would be sold. In most instances, investors did not see the appraisals performed on the properties being purchased in their names. The lack of investor input resulted from investors' adherence to PWM's three rules.

- 16. FRALEIGH explained and gave testimonial to individuals, that PWM would make monthly payments to the investor to cover mortgage payments and expenses. The majority of individuals who invested in the real estate program purchased multiple residences.
- 17. FRALEIGH had not obtained financial information from PWM and its related entities.
- 18. The transactions to close the purchase of real estate by investors through the real estate program and the refinancing by investors of their principal residence were structured to generate excess funds that would be wired directly to PWM or its related entities.
- 19. PWM and its related entities ceased making payments to investors to cover their debt payments in late 2006.
- 20. In addition to residential real-estate investments and in an effort to raise additional cash to offset the effects of the slowing real estate market, the principals in PWM created an investment fund called Total Return Fund, L.L.C. ("TRF"). TRF alleged that it would use investor cash to buy distressed properties and businesses at a deep discount, rehab the property or improve the business as needed, and sell it for a profit. In the summer of 2006, PWM began to apply for credit cards and/or lines of credit in investors' names. In many instances, application was made without the prior consent of the investor. FRALEIGH explained to individuals how he had invested in securities with TRF through the use of line(s) of credit.
- 21. To generate the cash required to invest with TRF, FRALEIGH described to investors how they could obtain cash advances against lines of credit, and invest the cash in TRF. TRF was described to investors as a short-term investment opportunity offering an extremely high return.

- 1			
1	Several investors, after speaking with FRALEIGH opened credit card accounts and lines of credit and		
2	requested cash advances and loan amounts which were subsequently forwarded to PWM and it		
3	related entities such as TRF.		
4	II. <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>		
5	1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the		
6	Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.		
7	2. FRALEIGH offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning		
8	of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).		
9	3. FRALEIGH violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were		
0	neither registered nor exempt from registration.		
1	4. FRALEIGH violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while		
12	neither registered as dealers or salesmen nor exempt from registration.		
13	5. FRALEIGH violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by making untrue statements or misleading		
4	omissions of material facts including, but not limited to, failing to inform potential investors that he		
15	was being compensated for his services and failing to provide potential investors with any salien		
16	financial information related to PWM and its related entities.		
۱7	6. FRALEIGH's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S.		
18	§ 44-2032.		
19	7. FRALEIGH's conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. §		
20	44-2032.		
21	8. FRALEIGH's conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-		
22	2036.		
23	III. <u>ORDER</u>		
24	THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and		
25	RESPONDENTS' consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the		

Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS, and any of RESPONDENTS' agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that RESPONDENTS comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS and the marital community of FRALEIGH and LINDA JEAN FRALEIGH shall jointly and severally pay restitution to the Commission in the amount of \$12,000 representing the amount earned in connection with the sale of the securities in this matter as reflected in the records of the Commission. Payment shall become immediately due and payable upon entry of this Order. Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account controlled by the Commission. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro-rata basis to investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse because an investor refuses to accept such payment shall be disbursed on a pro-rata basis to the remaining investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any funds that the Commission determines it is unable to or cannot feasibly disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the State of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that RESPONDENTS shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$15,000. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona." Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. The payment obligations for these administrative penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations ordered herein and shall become immediately

due and payable only after restitution payments have been paid in full or upon RESPONDENTS' 1 default with respect to RESPONDENTS' restitution obligations. 2 3 For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by any of the RESPONDENTS shall be an act of default. If any RESPONDENT does not comply with this Order, any outstanding balance 4 5 may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable. 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if any RESPONDENT fails to comply with this Order, 7 the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against that RESPONDENT, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt. 8 9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 10 11 12 **CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER** 13 14 COMMISSIONER **IISSIONER** COMMISSIONER 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, DEAN S. MILLER, Interim 17 Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the 18 official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 27th day of 19 20 21 22 Interim Executive Director 23 24 25

7

26

Decision No.

1				
2	DISSENT			
3				
4	DISSENT			
5	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, ADA Coordinat			
6	voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail <u>lhogan@azcc.gov.</u>			
7	(company)			
8	(wwb)			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondents KENNETH HOWARD FRALEIGH AND LINDA JEAN FRALEIGH ("RESPONDENTS") admit the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. RESPONDENTS acknowledge that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and RESPONDENTS knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. RESPONDENTS acknowledge that this Order To Cease and Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- 2. RESPONDENTS knowingly and voluntarily waive any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. RESPONDENTS acknowledge and agree that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. RESPONDENTS acknowledge that they have been represented by an attorney in this matter, RESPONDENTS have reviewed this Order with their attorney, Trent D. Stewart, and understand all terms it contains.
- 5. RESPONDENTS neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. RESPONDENTS agree that they shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission or any other state agency concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the state to engage in the practice of any business or profession.

Decision No. 70219

6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, RESPONDENTS agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. RESPONDENTS will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of RESPONDENTS' agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.

- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between RESPONDENTS and the Commission, RESPONDENTS understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. RESPONDENTS understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. RESPONDENTS understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the State of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. RESPONDENTS agree that they will not apply to the State of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative until such time as all restitution and penalties under this Order are paid in full.
- 11. RESPONDENTS agree that they will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services within or from Arizona until such time as all restitution and penalties under this Order are paid in full.
- 12. RESPONDENTS agree that they will not sell any securities in or from Arizona without being properly registered in Arizona as a dealer or salesman, or exempt from such registration; RESPONDENTS will not sell any securities in or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or exempt from registration; and RESPONDENTS will not transact

1 business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative unless 2 properly licensed in Arizona or exempt from licensure. RESPONDENTS agree that they will continue to cooperate with the Securities 13. 3 Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in 4 5 this matter and cooperating with the State of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order. 6 14. 7 RESPONDENTS acknowledge that any restitution or penalties imposed by this 8 Order are obligations of FRALEIGH and the marital community of KENNETH HOWARD 9 FRALEIGH and LINDA JEAN FRALEIGH. 15. 10 RESPONDENTS consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound by its terms and conditions. 11 12 16. RESPONDENTS acknowledge and understand that if they fail to comply with the 13 provisions of the Order and this Consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings 14 against RESPONDENTS, including application to the Superior Court for an order of contempt. 15 17. RESPONDENTS understand that default shall render RESPONDENTS liable to the 16 Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate. 18. 17 RESPONDENTS agree and understand that if they fail to make any payment as required in the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and 18 19 payable without notice or demand. RESPONDENTS agree and understand that acceptance of any 20 partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by the Commission. 21 22 23 24

11

25

26

	i				
1			, /		
2	Kenneth Howard Froleigh a married man				
3	Kenneth Howard Fraleigh, a married man				
4	Linda J. Fealagn				
5			Linda Jeai	n Fraleigh, a married woman	
6	STATE OF ARIZONA) ss				
7	County of)				
8	Personally appeared before me thi	s day of		, 2008 an individual known to me to	
9	be Kenneth Howard Fraleigh and		ed the execu	tion of the foregoing instrument.	
10			Sce	attachement	
11			NOTAR	Y PUBLIC	
12					
13	Seal:				
14					
15					
16	STATE OF ARIZONA)				
17	County of) ss				
18	D 11			2000 on individual Images to make	
19	Personally appeared before me th		4.	, 2008 an individual known to me to	
20	be Linda Jean Fraleigh and ackno	wiedged the	execution of	ATTACHMENT	
21					
22			NOTAR	Y PUBLIC	
23					
24	Seal:				
25					
26					
			12		

Decision No. **70219**

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California County of Riverside	}
	Paul Matary Public, Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer,
personally appeared Kenneth Ho	punit Fraleigh and Linda Iras
Fraleigh	
A. PAUL COMM. #1753589 Notary Public · California Riverside County My Comm. Expires Jun. 26, 2011	who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s)-ia/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
	WITNESS my hand and official seal.
	Signatura Park
Place Notary Seal Above	Signature Signature of Notary Public
Though the information below is not required by law, it	TONAL may prove valuable to persons relying on the document eattachment of this form to another document.
Description of Attached Document	datasement of the form to discuss decament.
	e and Desist Order of Restitutions or Penaltics and Consent To Same Number of Pages: 12 To Same
Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)	
Signer's Name: Individual Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner — Limited General Attorney in Fact Trustee Guardian or Conservator Other:	☐ Individual ☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s): ☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General ☐ Attorney in Fact ☐ OF SIGNER
Signer Is Representing:	Signer Is Representing: